

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_76287
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges of Effective Principals Leadership in School Improvement in Government Secondary Schools of Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Section 1</p> <p>Introduction Comment 3: It's important you provide more key literature about Principal's Leadership, management and administrative role supporting School Improvement and school effectiveness and please identify the challenges of schools and quality of education</p> <p>Section 2</p> <p>Comment 9: should be title Research Design than Research Methods</p> <p>Comment 10: The Research Design title should be title Research Method. The first paragraph relates to Methodology aspects of your study. Please explain the pros and cons of using qualitative methodology than quantitative</p> <p>You have also explained the Methods you employed were documents, semi-structured interview and Focus group discussions to collect data</p> <p>Comment 11: This section is too detailed. You are discussing more about Ethiopia than providing detailed theoretical or conceptual review</p> <p>Comment 12: Interesting that you have explained the data collection tools separately. You may consider developing the sample of each method and the data analysis of each of these methods including the ethical issues associated with each method</p> <p>Comment 13: Am suggesting you keep this section simple. Explain clearly the population, sample size and sample techniques for the interview and focus group separately if need be. Tables are more good in discussing about the population and sample size.. Your study about the Challenges of Effective Principals Leadership in School Improvement in Government Secondary Schools of Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia and not about Ethiopia</p> <p>Comment 14: You may need to explain how your research questions was used to select documents for review, develop questions asked in interview and Focus group discussion.</p> <p>Comment 15: This study employed three research methods. You have well describe the documents, interview and then the focus group discussion. Please explain how these qualitative methods of data collection can be analyze ethically</p> <p>Section 3</p> <p>Comment 16: Interview is well explained What about the analysis and coding of the documents and FGD. Or the coding for interview is similar to documents and FGD. Please explain in the study</p> <p>Comment 17: Please report about the ethical issues you considered carrying out your study</p> <p>Section 4</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>Comment 18: You have not mention any FGD question or outcomes in this finding</p> <p>Comment 19: Good quote from the analysis of your finding. Please add coding as explained in the analysis of your interview will add strength to your study</p> <p>Comment 20: Another question. Please indicate if is an interview question or a focus group dissuasion question</p> <p>Comment 21: What about Section 5 is missing</p> <p>Section 7</p> <p>Comment 22: APA is more ideal for referencing</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Comment 1: Title: What about: Principal's Leadership in School Improvement in Government Secondary Schools of Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia as title</p> <p>Comment 2: Abstract: Please check if necessary to add the full abbreviation of SIP in the abstract</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>The major ethical issues in conducting research are: a) Informed consent, b) Beneficence, c) Respect for anonymity and confidentiality d) Respect for privacy were not mentioned in the study</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Frederick Ebot Ashu
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon