

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_74828
Title of the Manuscript:	Students' perception towards the usefulness and satisfaction of practical biochemistry skills in a public university versus private university in Khartoum, Sudan (2019)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract can be one paragraph instead of separate headings. 2. The objectives of the study should be mentioned. 3. In the methodology, the period of study can be mentioned. (e.g month and year) 4. The methodology says the sample size as 441. In the table 1, the sample size is 240, the response rate may be mentioned. 5. In Figure 1, please mention which is private and public university 6. Recommendations may be highlighted separately 7. Conclusion may be included. 8. Referencing can be as per APA standards. 	
Optional/General comments	It is appreciable to note that the researcher has conducted a cross sectional study about the satisfaction of biochemistry practical skills in two universities in Sudan. The researcher has clearly justified why he/she has taken biochemistry practical skills for the study in the Introduction. The methodology is well drafted.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renjith Kumar.R
Department, University & Country	University of Technology and Applied Sciences Nizwa, Oman