

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_73032
Title of the Manuscript:	PROCESS FACTORS AMONG URBAN, RURAL, PUBLIC AND PRIVATE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS IN THE CENTRAL REGION OF GHANA: ENHANCING QUALITY TEACHING AND LEARNING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a rather simple study. I have many questions.</p> <p>p.1: SDG=? JHS=? Ghana's aspiration...by 2020? It's 2021 now, so what has come of that aspiration?</p> <p>p.2: BECE=?</p> <p>p.3: The data are from 2005, 2007 and 2011. Are there no more recent data?</p> <p>p.5: Are the data in the Total line correct? They are the same for all of the three subjects.</p> <p>p.6: Why an urban, rural, public, private distinction? Based on what literature?</p> <p>p.7: What is the exact content of the three questionnaires? What were the questions? Where were the questions based on, taken from? What was the min. and max. of the answering categories? Where is the mean of 2.5 based on? Provide an overview of the responses and show that the samples are representative. How was determined how effective the selected factors were?</p> <p>p.8: Compute the differences between the categories discerned (rural v. urban; private v. public), in terms of significance and effect size. Did you perform factor and reliability analyses on the process factors? Question 6 lumps together four categories, which is not OK. I find the questions in this table rather poor examples, too general, not specific enough. Where are they taken from?</p> <p>p.10: Compute the differences between the categories discerned (rural v. urban; private v. public), in terms of significance and effect size. Did you perform factor and reliability analyses on the process factors? I find the questions in this table rather poor examples, too general, not specific enough. Where are they taken from?</p> <p>p.11: Compute the differences between the categories discerned (rural v. urban; private v. public), in terms of significance and effect size. Did you perform factor and reliability analyses on the process factors? I find the questions in this table rather poor examples, too general, not specific enough. Where are they taken from?</p> <p>p.12: Compute the differences between the categories discerned (rural v. urban; private v. public), in terms of significance and effect size. Did you perform factor and reliability analyses on the process factors? I find the questions in this table rather poor examples, too general, not specific enough. Where are the taken from?</p> <p>p.13: Where are the results of the student questionnaire?</p> <p>p.14: Compute the differences between the categories discerned (rural v. urban; private v. public), in terms of significance and effect size. Did you perform factor and reliability analyses on the process factors?</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>I find the questions in this table rather poor examples, too general, not specific enough. Where are they taken from?</p> <p>p.15: In the discussion part two times you state: “is more effective”; what is the prove of this?</p> <p>p.16: In the conclusion part you state “though were effective, they were not fully implemented”. Where is this based on?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geert Driessen
Department, University & Country	Radboud University, Netherlands