

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_89694
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics Evaluation of Ecological Services of Some Aquatic Ecosystems in Badagry Division, Lagos, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [XX]. Correct this in the text and in the References section. I recommend clarifying what "N" (Nigerian naira?) and unifying the use of currency values, for example: N55730 ± 9156.6 ... and... (728 358 ±48526). See Abstract and pp.10 I recommend revising and redoing these paragraphs (see pp. 13) to make the comparison clear, as Nigerian currency values are compared for local scenarios and in US\$ for global scenarios.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I include in the manuscript several corrections of spacing, format and etc. They are included in the manuscript, with "change control" mode. I recommend reducing the Abstract to 300 words. In pp. 14. <i>In consideration of just 18 ecological services being identified in this present study, it could be presumed that the people living within the jurisdiction of the examined aquatic ecosystem have not fully utilized all available ecological services which a typical aquatic ecosystems.</i> Consider replacing this paragraph with: <i>Considering only 18 ecological services identified in this study, it could be presumed that people living within the jurisdiction of the aquatic ecosystem examined have not fully utilized all the ecological services available in a typical aquatic ecosystem.</i>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Martín Caille
Department, University & Country	National University of Patagonia San Juan Bosco, Argentina