

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_89243
Title of the Manuscript:	Women in Biodiversity Conservation
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The topic is important. However, the paper is written very poorly. The author has taken most of the information from project report without changing the content. There is lack of evidences on women role in biodiversity conservation. The author needs to rewrite the paper keeping the women participation on biodiversity conservation. Although information from a successful project can be taken provided there has to be good explanation of the project details and its success.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author needs to work on the complete manuscript for resubmission and review. Not technically sound and scientifically robust	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madhulika Sahoo
Department, University & Country	Kalahandi University, India