

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_89210
Title of the Manuscript:	Resident perceptions on the health risks associated with solid waste disposal at Kalundu Dumpsite, Kitui County – Kenya on the surrounding human settlement
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The work is relevant to the society, particularly those who belongs to that locality. Abstract is appropriate and introduction explains the aim and objective of the study with sufficient background. The results and discussion are self explanatory. The necessary figures have been incorporated are the appropriate places for better clarity and conclusions. A very good number of latest references have been cited to justify and substantiate the work. There is no significant error in language.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the table- 1, 3rd row (water pollution), water pollution is very intense at far dumpsite (15.8%) than near dumpsite (13.9%). Appropriate explanation be cited for this contradictory observation. 2. Methodology for the study of air and water pollution with details results be cited for better study and conclusion. 3. From the figure-7, It is evident that age group 10-15 years and over 15 years at far dumpsites are more effected than the near dumpsite. Appropriate explanation be cited for this contradictory observation. 4. At least 3 years medical data relating to those persons affected in the cited diseases be collected from the medicals in the study area and be cited in the paper for conclusive evidences. <p>However the Editor is requested to accept the paper after necessary revisions.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pratap Kumar Panda
Department, University & Country	A.B. College, India