

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_88997
Title of the Manuscript:	MANGROVE HABITAT ASSESSMENT IN LAVEZARES NORTHERN SAMAR
Type of the Article	Manuscript

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I think it is a valuable manuscript, and it is important data that should be shown, however, the authors do not use the ideal format requested by the journal.</p> <p>Abstract: Too much information, this should be clear and concise.</p> <p>Introduction: The Introduction is a background with information about the study area, and not a true introduction.</p> <p>Objectives: The main aim should go at the end of Introduction; in this way the study is better justified.</p> <p>Methodology The description of the study area is missing in this section, a map, and a better description of sampling.</p> <p>Results and Discussion. Results are repetitive, authors could make them more specific and they are not really discussing the results, they do not have references or make comparisons with other studies.</p> <p>References: They are not correct, and all the authors are missing for each of them. Finally, I suggest modifying the figures and changing them for another type of graph. The most specific observations were made within the manuscript.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please check the units in all cases.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I think that the manuscript needs many changes before being accepted.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olivia Guadalupe Millán Aguilar
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, México