

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_88997
Title of the Manuscript:	MANGROVE HABITAT ASSESSMENT IN LAVEZARES NORTHERN SAMAR
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title less informative, it could be state the province and country</p> <p>Abstract could be only one paragraph and the objective of the research could be placed at the early sentence after short background why this research could be done, and then briefly method and result of the research. The last is the conclusion from the result of the research.</p> <p>Background is still unclear to give information why the research could be conducted in MANGROVE of LAVEZARES NORTHERN SAMAR. What the important reasoning?</p> <p>Methodology How to determine the crown cover, percent regeneration, and average height per sampling site could be written in detail in methodology. The sampling site (Table 1) could be written in the methodology. Result could be written separately with the discussion</p> <p>Result: Density and Relative Density of mangrove from six research site could be placed in one page, so that easy to understand what the result of the research Frequency and Relative Frequency of mangrove from six research site could be placed in one page. By this appearance the reader easy to compare Table 3, I thought no need to place in the paper, because have been representative by Figure 1 and Figure 2. It same also for Table 4 in site 2, etc</p> <p>Discussion Still poor discussion, please refer also to the references available which connect with your research topic</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gunarto
Department, University & Country	National research and Innovation Agency, Indonesia