

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_79923
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different diets on the growth rate of the rotifer, <i>Brachionus plicatilis</i> under 40 ppt salinity stress.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language: Author(s) should give the manuscript to an English major reader for editing. There were many grammatical errors that needs to be re-addressed 2. The abstract is not a complete summary of the entire study, some important information was not presented 3. The discussion is extremely weak, there is need to re-discuss the major findings of the study 4. The materials and method cannot be easily replicated, and some important procedures were not mentioned e.g., procedures for discerning gravid females and population density is worth mentioning. Also, the basis for combining some of the microalgae used and the mixing ratio were not mentioned in detail. 5. No recommendation was given at the end of the study..... 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The rules guiding the use of scientific names were not followed, all used scientific names should be italicised. 2. Statistical analysis given is not in details..... 3. Some references cited were not listed. For instance Dhert et al , Wikfora and Ohno 2001 etc. 4. There is no agreement between the objective of the study and the title of the work. Reconcile. 5. Some statement given were confusing which will require a re-phrase for easier comprehension. 	
Optional/General comments	Consistency in the fonts used in the write-up.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, since it involves the use of live specimen/organisms	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ojelade, Oluwaseun C.</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University Of Agriculture Abeokuta, Nigeria</i>