

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_79923
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different diets on the growth rate of the rotifer, <i>Brachionus plicatilis</i> under 40 ppt salinity stress.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	the discussion of a scientific article does not follow the comparison of these results with other results of literature. It must be noted that the reason (the cause) of this difference should be noted. This brings new information to science in general and biology in particular. It is therefore important to review the discussion in depth in order to enhance these results	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Why is N. Oculata a witness environment? 8 days for growth experimentation isn't it a little? why not at least 24 or 30 days?</p> <p>Zoolanctonic count was done every 24 hours. Why? Knowing that good zooplanktonic mutilation or reproduction is at least 72H</p> <p>Why didn't you apply the homogeneity test before the ANOVA application?</p> <p>Why don't you just do this text in the table. transforming the text into the table will facilitate the understanding of the work</p>	
Optional/General comments	It's a very good job. however, the discussion must be deepened	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Adande Richard</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University Of Abomey-Calavi, Benin</i>