

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_77828
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON DRINKING WATER UTILITIES IN NZOIA RIVER BASIN, KENYA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The author addresses a relevant topic especially in the current context of global climate change, which makes the topic relevant both for "the effect of climate change on drinking water utilities in the Nzoia River basin", but also with a multiplier effect for other similar areas existing globally. Concepts, bibliographic sources and citations are appropriate. The authors use relevant sources, such as "global warming is changing climate both globally [1] and regionally [2]." The research methodology is simplistically presented, but relevant to the purpose proposed by the authors, and the research tool a cross-sectional survey, describing "in-depth interviews with experts and brainstorming sessions to identify the impacts of climate change".</p> <p>The results are presented by the authors of the research highlighting the impacts of climate change, including the fact that they have an impact on how existing water utilities work and challenge and challenge the traditional hypothesis that "past hydrological experience provides a good guide for future conditions". However, both the results and the conclusions are presented from an applicative point of view, which is why we suggest the authors of the paper to highlight in a separate paragraph the personal scientific contributions to the literature.</p>	
Optional/General comments	We congratulate the research team and, after the review according to those mentioned, we intend to accept the paper.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Manta Otilia</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Romanian Academy and Romanian American University, Romania</i>