

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_77828
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON DRINKING WATER UTILITIES IN NZOIA RIVER BASIN, KENYA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript has valuable information which may help cover the gap of knowledge in the area. However, it is not written well. For example, the authors are not presenting their results sufficiently. Giving just a statement on results and then going directly into discussion makes the manuscript weak. There is long discussion that is not based on results and there is not enough comparison details between the sites etc. Also, authors are repeating the same words in the Results section-the same words are used in introducing several paragraphs/sections-direct copy and paste-this shows weakness in writing a manuscript. And, several paragraphs of the results and section repeat words in the introduction.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT: ..." as predicted before."-by who? When?</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: last sentence. Add "this" to read This assessment</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS-study site: There is too much information in the study site explanation. Maybe some of this could go to introduction? - 2.1.1 Water resources-end of line 2-delete the words rivers, it is a repetition- 2.2 Methods-2nd line-the whole of this is a repetition.... Should be covered under study site. Study duration- move to end of paragraph or better placed in introduction</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: 3.1 The first 4 paragraphs are not results. Should be in the discussion section or rather be in the introduction. Authors need to give what they found here. Paragraph 5- "Because of the high degree of uncertainty in data and modeling parameters..." What did you find that made you say this? 3.1.1 Water quantity impacts-2nd line – "The carefully selected team of water and..." This is redundant-already stated in the methods. Line 3-the words "The results reveal that..." have been repeated in several paragraphs of the manuscript. Table 1 "Water Quantity Impacts affecting Drinking Water Utilities"-delete the words "affecting Drinking Water Utilities" as they are already in the title. Do the same for the other tables. 3.1.2 Water quality impacts-the first 2 lines-Why repeat the same words here? 3.1.3 Operational reliability impacts-Lines1-3, why repeat the same words? 3.1.4 Financial and Institutional impacts: "The study established the..."-same words repeated in several occasions. "The carefully selected team of water..." again the same words repeated here and which should not be here. "The results reveal that, from the identified financial and institutional <i>impacts affecting drinking water utilities in the basin, vulnerability is uniformly spread across the basin</i>" repeated words inserted from previous sections.</p> <p>4.0 CONCLUSION-to be modified after re-writing.</p> <p>5.0 REFERENCE-should be REFERENCES. Need synchronisation e.g. 17 is written twice with different references</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Authors should be given a chance to follow reviewers comments and revise the manuscript accordingly. If revised well, it can be published in this journal.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Flower E. Msuya
Department, University & Country	Tanzania