

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_76427
Title of the Manuscript:	The Rohingya Refugee Crisis in Bangladesh: An Environmental Outlook
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>Abstract is good, it represents the paper as a whole. Needs proofreading See suggestions in corrected manuscript attached</p> <p>Introduction and background is appropriate, clear, streamlined, and supported with relevant citations. Needs proofreading [see suggestions in corrected manuscript] as well as to add more references as well as report citations to the list of references</p> <p>Literature review is clear but is missing the theoretical foundations, theories mentioned in the implications??? But not in the lit rev... Many references are needed to be added.... Citations to appear in the list of references!! The information in the lit rev is relevant and supported partially with validating citations [see suggestions]</p> <p>Must create a section on theoretical foundations in your lit rev section, not in Implications</p> <p>Methodology is clear and the design details are clear as well supported with relevant design parts. Though suggestions are made [see manuscript]... Proofreading</p> <p>Results are adequate, however none of the appendices are tied to the paper text!!! Must be done....</p> <p>Discussion needs proofreading to become clearer and streamlined. Many suggestions are made to remove certain repetition of wordings.[see details in corrected manuscript]. Many references are still needed to validate arguments and statements</p> <p>Conclusion and recommendations are adequate</p> <p>Review carefully the write up of references for consistency And match to the Journal's requirements Add all missing citation marked in the text</p> <p>Relate all appendices to the text</p> <p>Proofreading is needed</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon