

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_90133
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACT OF COMPETENCE AND TRAINING ON THE PERFORMANCE OF TEACHERS OF SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL 10 DEPOK THROUGH WORK MOTIVATION AS VARIABLE INTERVENING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provide English version of Figure 1 and explain it.</li> <li>- More detail about Likert scale method. What is the size of Likert scale?</li> <li>- Explain the meaning of COM1-COM8, TRA1-12, MOT1-7, and PER1-10.</li> <li>- In section 3.3, Table 4.10 is mentioned. Where is it?</li> <li>- The Conclusion section is built incorrectly. There should be such logic - point out the purpose of the study, briefly demonstrate the result obtained, point out what conclusions should be drawn from it.</li> <li>- In recommendation section, the author should provide some suggestion drawn from the main results.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Why are TRA2, TRA8-9, MOT4, and PER3 not included in Table2?</li> <li>- Is COMPETENCE variable about four basic components, namely pedagogic competence, professional competence, social competence, and personality competence? Add more discussion about it.</li> <li>- Literature review should be added.</li> <li>- There should be a discussion of the study results, comparison with previous ones.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Woradee Jongadsayakul
Department, University & Country	Kasetsart University, Thailand