

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_90101
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF MOTIVATION AND WORK EFFECTIVENESS ON TEACHER PERFORMANCE WITH ONLINE LEARNING AS INTERVENING VARIABLES AT SMA NEGERI 7 CITY OF BEKASI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are many misspelled words in the paper. For example, in table 1 or even in the chapter Conclusions which is written "CONCLUTION". Chapter 2 is insufficiently developed. For example, data collection is not sufficiently described. How was it made? When was it done? For example, the group of teachers who responded. Where are they from? What is the relevance of the sample? Usually, such research has a set of objectives, starts from a set of hypotheses, and obtains a set of results, which lead to conclusions. All this must be correlated. Even if this model is not followed in the paper, it does not mean that the approach is wrong. However, it is necessary to specify a few objectives.	
Minor REVISION comments	The page size is not uniform. Authors use many acronyms that they describe after they have appeared in the text or even forget to describe them.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lucian Lupu-Dima
Department, University & Country	University of Petrosani, Romania