

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_89981
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF TRAINING, COMPETENCE AND ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE ON THE EMPLOYEES PERFORMANCE OF APP JAKARTA POLYTECHNIC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Author tried well to discuss the competing interest topic but there are some considerable issues which should be rectified:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Author didn't provide proper introduction, background and literature which are compulsory for gap identification and theoretical grounding.</li> <li>Next paper also has some grammatical mistakes which should be rectified.</li> <li>Thirdly author need to recheck the analysis output tables there is an issue that values having comma (,) instead of points (.) in between them.</li> <li>Lastly author need to restructure the conclusion by providing paper conclusion, practical implications, limitations and future directions</li> </ol> <p><b>Authors have to consider all above points to assure the good quality of paper, but provision of introduction for gap identification and conclusion adjustment are most important.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>As such there is <b>No ethical issue just recheck author is collecting data from all employees.</b> so needs to justify how approach all employees.</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usman Zafar
Department, University & Country	National University of Modern Languages (NUML), Pakistan