

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_89876
Title of the Manuscript:	Is banking concentration in CEMAC socially optimal?
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The author proposes to study the optimality of banking concentration in the CEMAC zone. The work is interesting and well structured, with a fairly dense literature, a mobilisation of stylised facts and appropriate methodology. However, the policy recommendations do not follow directly from the results found, with the exception of control of corruption. The author can only explain more why this result is obtained, talking about the non-optimal banking concentration in the CEMAC zone. Correct it in the abstract and at the end of introduction.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good work to be improved	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guy Noël Piam Simo
Department, University & Country	University of Dschang, Cameroon