

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_89737
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF CORPORATE EARNINGS MANAGEMENT ON THE INDONESIAN STOCK EXCHANGE: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF FRAUD AND CORRUPTION
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>The paper is adequately written but needs editing [see all suggestions in the attached corrected paper]</p> <p>The abstract is adequate, it represents the paper as a whole. Keywords are fine</p> <p>The introduction and background are adequate and clear. Needs proofreading and has repetitions...[see all suggestions] State how this paper is divided!!!</p> <p>The literature review is adequate, streamlined, and fairly clear. Though suffering from the same problem as the introduction... needs Proofreading... The references used are relevant and adequate, however, there are citations not reported in the list of references or reported wrongly....</p> <p>The methodology is fair missing most design details. That is, no definition of terms in the different equations suggested. Besides needs proofreading and editing [See suggestions]</p> <p>Results are adequate with descriptive statistics. However, Data Tables must be shown especially for regression and tests of robustness including Normality, Collinearity, Reliability, and validity analysis were not shown in reported Tables!!!.... Must perform robust testing clearly with evidence....</p> <p>The discussion needs major review, restructuring, reorganization, and much attention to conceptual interpretation of coefficients and results to become clearer and streamlined. Work out the problems marked in the Manuscript!!! See suggestions [see details in corrected manuscript]. Many repetitions of one paragraph (three times?????) The discussion needs major revisiting and rewriting... Which affects the conclusion.... Also the discussion needs major Validation with reported literature...</p> <p>Conclusion and recommendations are not adequate and need proofreading, Must be rewritten including implications....</p> <p>Review carefully the write up of the references for consistency and to match the Journal's requirement... Make sure all citations are found on the ref list and that no extra references are included in the list!!!!</p> <p>Proofreading is needed</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon