

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_89195
Title of the Manuscript:	ASYMMETRIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CHANGES IN FOOD PRICES AND HOUSEHOLD CONSUMPTION IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>a. The second last sentence of the conclusion should be “..Household consumption will..... rise in household income” ?</p> <p>b. Basically, the first and second recommendations are not two different issues. The first recommendation is just a background or reason for the second. In general, it should be clear, which recommendation directly refer to which conclusion.</p> <p>c. References are not written accurately enough. Some authors (eg. World Bank, 2022; Trading Economics, 2022; Food Monitor, 2020; Zepeda, 2007) are in the paper but cannot be found on the list of references. On the contrary, some authors are on the list of references but cannot be found in the paper (eg. Benfica, R., Boughton, D., Mouzinho, B. & Uaiene, R, 2014; Savary, S., Ficke, A., Aubertot, J. N, & Hollier, C, 2012). Check all references, in the paper and on the list.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>a. State clearly what the second finding is. Is it “The strong determinants ... etc..” ?</p> <p>b. The last sentence of recommendations should beand providing loans to household, among others.</p> <p>c. References: Authors: Khadijat, B. A, Joanna, U., Bageant, E. & Blom, S. (2019). Khadijat, B. A, Joanna, U., Bageant, E. & Blom, S. (2019) is not completely written.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The paper is academically good to be published.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iman Santosa
Department, University & Country	Indonesia