

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_89031
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Profitability and Leverage in Mediation The Effect of Company Size on The Timeliness of Financial Reporting in The Indonesian Stock Exchange
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment		Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Dear Editor</b></p> <p><b>The following actions should be performed by the authors:</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript abstract is ambiguous.</b></p> <p><b>Introduction is very weak, it needs to be strengthened. Writing errors need to be identified and corrected throughout the article.</b></p> <p><b>The theoretical framework lacks a valid primary source.</b></p> <p><b>The difference between methodology and method should be understood by the author or authors and its type should be specified in the article.</b></p> <p><b>The structure and framework of the article (formatting) should be in accordance with the instructions of our magazine.</b></p> <p><b>The review of the research literature is poor and does not explain the relationship between the subject of the study and the studies conducted.</b></p> <p><b>Structural equations are discussed in the research methodology, but no obvious</b></p>	<p>The following actions should be performed by the authors: The manuscript abstract is ambiguous.            Introduction is very weak, it needs to be strengthened.            Writing errors need to be identified and corrected throughout the article. The theoretical framework lacks a valid primary source.            The difference between methodology and method should be understood by the author or authors and its type should be specified in the article.            The structure and framework of the article (formatting) should be in accordance with the instructions of our magazine.            The review of the research literature is poor and does not explain the relationship between the subject of the study and the studies conducted.            Structural equations are discussed in the research methodology, but no obvious use is made in the article.            Conclusions and discussions are not enough to innovate and become operational.</p>	.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments			
<b>Optional/General</b> comments			

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shahram Gilania</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iran</b>