

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_88966
Title of the Manuscript:	Consumer Satisfaction of Marketplace Users in Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract should be rewritten. When the author(s) is/are following the structured abstract, then all the sections should be included properly. Purpose (including aims or objectives), originality (including novelty), methodology or purpose, results or findings, conclusions. All the things should be brief and to be included within 200-250 words. This article reflects very poor quality research. None of the key points are highlighted in the paper. There is no motivation of conducting this research, usefulness of this research. All these important things should be included in the introduction section. Include aims and research objectives in the introduction section in extended way. Explain it clearly. A separate section of literature review should be made. It should not be included within the materials and methods section. Rewrite the conclusion portion. It should be extended. Include future scope and limitation of the study in the conclusion section. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shankha Shubhra Goswami
Department, University & Country	Indira Gandhi Institute of Technology, India