

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_87353
Title of the Manuscript:	Profitability Determinants Analysis at Sharia Guarantee Company in Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Not writing and clarifying both (research problem, research hypotheses, research objective). ▪ The research was not based on writing any theoretical frameworks or previous studies ▪ In Methodology: 1) Limiting and adopting the research methodology to (4) people only = (4) managers, and this will result from weakness in the results that will be reached (Writing the reasons for selecting the sample (4) managers), 2) It was mentioned that the regression analysis method was used and the relationship between the research variables using SWOT analysis, but when the use of regression analysis was clarified, the reasons for choosing the four-way SWOT analysis were also not clarified. ▪ Clarifying the extent of agreement and disagreement with the extracted results with a review of the literature and previous studies. ▪ The references were not written in the body of the thesis, as it did not refer to any reference that was based on in any paragraph within the research. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ In abstract: Return on Investment (YOI) Shortcut Error and Correction (ROI). ▪ It is better to write a set of recommendations, based on the results of the extracted research, as it goes to the sector that will benefit from them. ▪ Standardizing the method of citing references, it is better to cite references on the system APA School 	
Optional/General comments	<p>There are some strengths represented in the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presenting and discussing the results in a better integrated way. • The best use of pictures and figures, which makes it easier for the reader to understand the financial transactions and the statistical report. • The correct discussion of the SWOT analysis was reviewed, as the SWOT matrix included (4) new strategies, through which it was possible to identify and identify the strengths, weaknesses, weaknesses, and threats facing the Shariah Guarantee Company in Indonesia. • The conclusion was explained and written in an adequate and sufficient way. Through reading it, it is possible to understand what the research is about • Most of the references that were relied upon in the list of references are recent, and this strengthens the research in addition to being arranged alphabetically. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Abdel Fattah Zohry
Department, University & Country	Mansoura University, Egypt