

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_87299
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial distress and its prediction: A case study of the textile and garment industry
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This paper discusses the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the textile industry, which is a meaningful topic, but there are still some shortcomings in this manuscript that need to be improved, such as below: 1. Typo, "dan" should be "and" in the title of section 2.1. 2. In the literature review, various models of measuring financial distress should be compared and why this model is adopted in this study should be explained? 3. The operational definition of financial distress should be established or its model structure should be depicted to increase the readability of the paper. 4. The linear combination relationship among T1.T2.T3.T4.T5.and Z should be further explained. 5. This paper should elaborate the internal and external factors that put the company in financial distress, in order to increase the contribution of this paper to the practical community. 6. It is necessary to further summarize the specific implications and suggestions for the practical community based on the statistical results found.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please proofread the texts again on this manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Future studies may increase the sample year to perform longitudinal analysis rather than only cross-sectional analysis.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ting-Yu Chueh
Department, University & Country	National Taichung University of Science and Technology, Republic of China