

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBa_87112
Title of the Manuscript:	Mode of Entry Strategy on SMEs Internationalization In East Java: A Review of Literature
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBa/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p><i>The authors of the paper address an important topic both scientifically and especially applied, given the development of new models of collaborative economy, which focuses on the internationalization of SMEs and networks (platforms).</i></p> <p><i>The concepts, bibliographic sources and citations are appropriately mentioned by the authors of the paper, but the literature review chapter is incorporated in the chapter of results and discussions, and these from a structural point of view should be a separate chapter in the paper. it should also be the research methodology chapter. Research models (such as the upscale model for example) are appropriately selected and argued by the authors of the paper, regarding the substantiation of the research results.</i></p> <p><i>The results of the research are presented by the authors of the paper, highlighting the contribution from an applicative point of view. ", But we suggest to the authors of the paper to present also what are the personal scientific contributions to the specialized literature.</i></p> <p><i>The conclusions are presented appropriately, but as we mentioned in the results chapter, it is necessary to emphasize the scientific contribution of the authors, what are the limitations of the study, respectively what will be the future research carried out by the authors of the paper.</i></p> <p><i>We congratulate the research team for the study, and after reviewing according to the suggestions mentioned above, we propose for acceptance and publication of the paper.</i></p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Otilia Manta
Department, University & Country	Romanian Academy/ Romanian American University, Romania