

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_86953
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF CORONA-VIRUS DISEASE 2019 (COVID-19) AND INFLATION RATE ON THE FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATE IN KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. The abstract clearly presents purpose of the study, methods and results. However,</p> <p>The paper does not provide an adequate review of the literature in the study. No review of previous on how covid-19 affected foreign exchange rate No review of previous studies on how inflation affected foreign exchange rate The author ought to identify gaps in the literature reviewed There is no theoretical framework driving the study. The author should provide theoretical issues that border on foreign exchange rate and how it relates to the economy of Kenya The author ought to conduct unit root test on the variables in order to avoid obtaining spurious regression results. The order of integration would suffice for the regression exercise.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The analysis of the results is seems not too comprehensive. Hence, there are no policy implications ensuing from the analyzed results	
Optional/General comments	There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. The order of integration would suffice for the regression exercise. This would make the paper stronger in terms of policy findings	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. Umoru
Department, University & Country	Edo State University Uzairue, Nigeria