

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_83597
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF BUSINESS CONTINUITY IN INDUSTRY SEAFOOD PROCESSED PRODUCT BASED ON COMMUNITY IN BUNGIN ISLAND, WEST NUSA TENGGARA PROVINCE
Type of the Article	Empirical study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract, questionnaire is not used for interview. It is used for survey. Interview guide question is used for interview. Which is which? 2. In the introduction, use recent references for citation. Specify the Law 3 of 2014. Spell out NTB. Follow the proper way of writing the citation in (hartomo 2013) 3. Discuss the main variable based on literature review and establish the research gap. 4. Add more literature regarding the industry seafood processed product. Make a separate section for Literature review. 5. Explicitly state your theoretical underpinning, framework and research questions. 6. In methodology, wrong designed was indicated. If you administered questionnaire, your design is just descriptive analysis not explanatory. 7. Questionnaire in Likert scale is not under structured interview. Survey questionnaire is for quantitative data collection while structure interview is for qualitative data collection. Which data collection did you use? 8. Narrate the development of questionnaire and its validation. How do you establish the validity and reliability of your questionnaire? 9. Write the name of statistical tools and software did you use in data analysis. You may remove the formulas in the paper. 10. Write the ethical considerations of your study? 11. In result section, change the word graph number into figure number with Title and place this below the graph. 12. Support your findings with the findings of the previous studies by comparing or contrasting your findings with the findings of the previous studies. 13. Make a separate section for the Discussion. Interpret your findings and support with literature from literature review section 14. In conclusion section, write the limitations of your study and recommendations to your target entities. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check the spelling of some words (METODOLOGY, expanatory) and clarity of sentences. There are redundant words in sentences. Like processing raw materials or raw materials, catching fish fishermen etc. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alvin O. Insorio
Department, University & Country	San Pedro College of Business Administration, Philippines