

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_82210
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Income Diversity on Export Trade in RCEP Countries
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In introduction section, this paper states the classification of industrial structure and income inequality without further elaborating the motivation of this paper's research, i.e., how do the incomes of different income groups affect trade? 2. The last paragraph of the introduction should describe the organization of the content of each section of the paper. 3. The literature review section should correspond better to the content of the study. This paper is about the impact of income diversity on exports, and it is recommended that the authors review articles that are more relevant to this paper. 4. In methodology section, for the construction of the Income Diversity Index (IDI) index, we suggest that the authors cite some relevant literature to further validate the scientific validity of the index. 5. Some of the findings of this study are somewhat surprising, with notable differences in the significance of the results between different sub-sample countries. We recommend robustness tests for both the full sample as well as the sub-sample. 	
Minor REVISION comments	There are irregularities in some of the language writing in this paper. It is recommended that the author make revisions to the language throughout the paper.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zhiling Qian
Department, University & Country	School of Management and Economics, Beijing Institute of Technology, China