

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_81704
Title of the Manuscript:	Stochastic Analysis of the Impact of Growth-Rates on Stock Market Prices in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Regarding the title of the manuscript, I suggest adding the country where the study is conducted. For example: "Stochastic Analysis of the Impact of Growth-Rates on Stock Market Prices in Nigeria" - The methodology used for this study is not clearly defined. It is not clear which kind of study it is. - It is not clear how long the study will last, i.e., the exact period of time that the study will cover. It is therefore important to delimit the study in time and space in order to allow the readers to situate themselves in the understanding. - An important part of the manuscript is missing: the discussion of the results. The discussion allows the researcher to compare the results of his or her study to those found in other previous studies. This gives value to the study in question. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The 3rd paragraph on the introduction (underlined in red): ... Researchers are kin to study the behaviour ..., means what exactly? - An incomplete sentence on the 10th paragraph: This paper is arranged as follows: Section 2 presents mathematical modeling of asset returns, the formulation of the is seen in Subsection 2.1 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bibliographical references: Unless I am mistaken, I noticed that references [4], [10] and [11] are not included in the manuscript, but appear on the references page. It is therefore important to insert them in the manuscript. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raymond Assani Ramazani
Department, University & Country	Congo