

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_81475
Title of the Manuscript:	INDUSTRIAL HARMONY: ANTIDOTE TO WORKPLACE DEVIANT BEHAVIOUR AMONG LECTURERS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- Solves the problem of contradiction between objectives and assumptions: you have assumed that all variables (wage, safety, ...etc.) have no influence on misconduct at work among teachers in tertiary institutions in Nigeria. And you want to subsequently examine their influences on misconduct at work among teachers in tertiary institutions in Nigeria</p> <p>2- Explain more about the chosen sampling technique</p> <p>3- Explain the choice of sample size 300</p> <p>4- Explain more the proposed model: Is it a simple or multiple regression?</p> <p>5- Added the formula of the model?</p> <p>6- Statistically verify the non-dependence of the variables</p> <p>7- Statistically verify that there are no other unidentified influential factors in the study</p> <p>8- Explain the relevance of the choice of model</p> <p>9- I do not see the added value of the variables studied in tables 1, 2 and 3 as long as they are not used in the model proposed in the study</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarir Hicham
Department, University & Country	ENSATé : National School of Applied Sciences of Tetouan, Morocco