

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_81225
Title of the Manuscript:	IT in the Public Sector: a Road for Development Services, a Bibliographical Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Thank you analysing quite a number of papers; however seems that the paper is written without any attention to structure of sentences. - Paper for sure required proof reading and editing. - Even though author has made effort for analysing a long period of time (10 years) which this can be considered as an advantage for the paper; the weak sentence structures made it hard to fully understand what the author(s) is referring to. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author mostly has reviewed the number of topics that papers have covered as well as demonstrating more concentration of papers in the usage of IT in public sector; however it would be good to analyse which sub topics are more attractive for the journal and which theories the papers have referred to. - With a little effort; more contribution and recommendations can be offered by the paper. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ehsaneh Nejad Mohammad Nameghi
Department, University & Country	IAU- Science and Research Branch, Iran