

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_80362
Title of the Manuscript:	Scalable Green Entrepreneurship in the Post-COVID-19 Pandemic World: The Australasian Case
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1, Please include a short summary of the data collection process</li> <li>2. Please include the major findings of the research and the impact/significant influence of the findings on the international and domestic companies (The Respondents of the research)</li> <li>3. There is no explanation of the methodology and data collection process.</li> <li>4, Is the article a conceptual paper or an empirical paper? Please explain in the Abstract and Introduction section</li> </ol> <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In this section, the author should be discussing the background of the research. What is the scenario, economic or social background of the research?</li> <li>2. Basic concept of Green Entrepreneurship and its challenges, issues and problems of green entrepreneurship can be explained in depth in this section</li> <li>3. Why are the Oceania region chosen as the location of this research as compared to other region in the world? Please justify.</li> <li>4. Many statements in this section are not supported by any citation. For example, the last two paragraph in this section</li> </ol> <p><b>Entrepreneurship in OC: Getting Stronger After the Covid 19</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There is no Introduction discussed in this section</li> <li>2. Many statements require justification, for example the last sentence of the first paragraph and the second paragraph. Please supports with review of past literature.</li> <li>3. Many of the paragraph in this section are not link to each other. The previous paragraph did not introduce the idea/concept in the next paragraph</li> <li>4. Lack of interpretation on the concept and ideas presented in this paper. For example, the list of challenges listed on page 5</li> <li>5. The concept/idea in the last paragraph of this section should be explained further</li> </ol> <p>Entrepreneurship in OC: Building on a Team-work Sustainable Approach</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The term "team work" should be discussed in the context of the research</li> <li>2. What is the significant of the so-called "Team Australasia" and "Working Better" to the research? There is no introduction/background of this term/concept explained in this section</li> </ol> <p><b>Entrepreneurship in OC: environmental, social, and governance commitments</b></p> <p>The location "Western Balkan" was introduced in this section. Why? The earlier part of the paper highlighted that the location of the article focuses on the Oceania Countries (OC).</p> <p>What does the author mean by AAA integration?</p> <p>The proposed introduced seven (7) commitments written in this section was shallow and should be further explained. Some of the terms in the proposed in the commitments should be first explained, for example, the Australasia External Investment Plan (A-EIP).</p> <p>A Literature Review section should be added in the article to support and as a foundation to the article. It will help the reader to further understand the article.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

	<b>Results and Discussion</b> The findings of the research should be compared to past research and interpreted	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Many sentences are too long with multiple ideas, making understanding difficult</li><li>2. The term we and our used in this article is confusing</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Many sentences are too long making reading understanding difficult</li><li>2. The English sentences can be improved</li></ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zainuddin Bin Zakaria</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Teknologi Mara Terengganu, Malaysia</b>