

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_80313
Title of the Manuscript:	TREASURY SINGLE ACCOUNT: TOOL FOR RESOURCE CONTROL AND FRAUD MITIGATION
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comments (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight <i>that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Based on the below comments; I recommend this article to publish relevant journal after consider this minor changes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. If it possible tries to reduce word counts in the abstract section.</li> <li>2. 6<sup>th</sup> line in the introduction stage should be capitalised the first letter. For example: consolidated revenue account (CRA)</li> <li>3. Try to include limitations of this study in the conclusion part.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Title of the paper is more general with suitable length.</li> <li>2. Abstract of this paper focuses on luring of other people; particularly purpose of the study, methodology, key results and practical implications as well. Suitable keywords reflect to the abstract. <b>Note:</b> Total no. of word count in the abstract section is 252 and it's too high. If it possible the author of this article try to reduce within the criteria.</li> <li>3. Introduction of this paper justifies the significance of subject matter and connects with research introduction in a form of a logical funnel. End of the introduction part purpose of the article given with suitable research problem.</li> <li>4. Literature review related theory supported storyline of an article. The purpose of literature review concentrated that the relevant for the focus of this article and self-citation was minimised. Recent journal articles are focused as well.</li> <li>5. Set-up and research methods are precisely described. This report to assess the scientific method of empirical research and justification of research methodology described clearly how the research was done.</li> <li>6. Based on the research objectives, Hypotheses was developed in a logical manner to test the significant of the research questions.</li> <li>7. In the experimental and discussion part have analysed the results as well. This section has built not only show key result but also explains and contemplates the results.</li> <li>8. In the conclusion section; line with previous section and should not present totally new results. Moreover, in the finding section, introduction of the study, research questions with relevant hypotheses are focused in a logical way. Finally, significance and recommendation of the study are given clearly except limitations.</li> <li>9. References are under criteria and strictly followed APA standard except DOI and reference link. Otherwise it shows clear and in a systematic way.</li> </ol>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S.S.Jeyaraj</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sino-British College, Guangxi University for Nationalities, P.R.China</b>