

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_77548
Title of the Manuscript:	INTERNAL CONTROL: ITS ROLE IN THE REDUCTION OF FRAUD AND PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT AMONG SMEs
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- -	
Minor REVISION comments	Major grammatical errors are not there, and minor errors could be ignored. Research Gap is not discussed.	
Optional/General comments	Title of the paper is sound and self-explanatory. Abstract reveals to the title and states about the study. Keywords are sufficient and concerned. Introduction is sufficient and covers related aspects of the study. Literature review is good and covers a number of related components of the paper. The theories in the theoretical review are concern=ed. Empirical reviews are sufficient and concerned. Methodology opted for the qualitative study is optimum. Data presentation is explanatory. Tools used in the analysis as chi-square test is justified. Test of Hypothesis is justified and chi-square value & p-value support each other and justifying the standards of hypothesis and the objective of the study. Conclusion is appropriate and References are sufficient.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Rakesh Verma</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, India</i>