

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_77452
Title of the Manuscript:	ACCOUNTABILITY AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT: BUILDING BLOCKS TOWARDS NATIONAL PROSPERITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Section 2.5 is not fitting well with the rest of the paper. This is more of a wish list, who has advocated for such measures and with what level of success? For example, the adoption of IPSAS has not according to some improved accountability in some developing countries. Articles in this issue <i>Critical Perspectives on Accounting 40</i> (2016) may help improve on your theoretical framework especially with regard to IPSAS. The thrust of your arguments can be further strengthened if you cite supporting authorities. For example, on the concept of national prosperity you rely on the LEGATUM Prosperity Index, how reliable is it? How much support or otherwise has it garnered among the research community. Assuming the flipside of poverty is prosperity, is the definition and understanding of poverty consistent among nations? What does it mean to be living in poverty in Africa and Nigeria in particular?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Avoid generalisations as much as possible. Thoroughly edit your document for grammatical and other typographical errors.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Togara Warinda
Department, University & Country	University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe