

Review Form 1.6

T

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_76204
Title of the Manuscript:	Reaction of Sharia Capital Market Against Political Events in Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is publishable but with major revisions. Firstly, the full paper must be professionally proofread by a native English speaker as academic writing standards have not been met.</p> <p>The topic is novel and approach is fair, however the major contribution of the paper is missing in the introduction. Literature review is very weak and theoretical underpinning is not evident. Overemphasis on the political event is noticed but it does not add value to the paper. A conceptual review is noticed. No theory has been discussed. It is not hypothesis – It is Hypotheses as there are many. Results and discussions are fair, can include some diagnostic tests to confirm the estimation technique.</p> <p>The conclusion does not include recommendations, limitations and scope for further research. The conclusion must be strengthened.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Rekha Pillai</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>British University, UAE</i>