

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_76204
Title of the Manuscript:	Reaction of Sharia Capital Market Against Political Events in Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I find punctuation to be wrong in several cases, for instance using dots instead of commas. 2. Theoretical framework seems to be weak, lacking some fundamental contributions about efficient markets. 3. Some parts of the text seem to be repetitive. Please try not to repeat the same paragraphs in different parts of the document. 4. I miss some justification for this study. Why is it important to make this research and what is the contribution of it? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I suggest translating references to English, so readers know what those papers are about. 2. I find Introduction to be very extense. It includes some analysis of the data that could be moved to a new section of the paper. 3. It would be good to improve the format of formulas and graphics. 4. Usually markets can predict the outcome of elections by looking at polls, so market movements can reflect future changes of policy with some months of advantage. The 10-day periods used in this research seem to be very narrow. 5. The conclusion section usually includes a brief summary of each section, just a lines summarizing something from the introduction, the framework & methodology, the analysis and results. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francisco-Javier Lozano
Department, University & Country	Chile