

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJEBA_ 73203
Title of the Manuscript:	PUBLIC EDUCATION FUNDING AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA; ARDL-VECM AND CAUSAL INFERENCE APPROACH
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>First of all, thanks a lot to the author for conducting such an important research paper.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- The methodology part is organized very well and the ARDL and VECM models are conducted unique, and all the question are fitted very well.</li> <li>2- The Literature part is exactly related to the title of the article.</li> <li>3- It will be very good if you compare your conclusion part with some other related articles which are conducted recently.</li> <li>4- It is good if you expand the introduction part that the reader could gain more general idea.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- Very miner mistakes exist, like in page 5 the fifth line (from the last), it is written vecm, I suggest you write it in capital VECM.</li> <li>2- Tables and graphs are organized very well.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, the article is organized very well, and it is ready to be published with this version.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khailullah Hassani
Department, University & Country	Russian National Research University, Russia