

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_72596
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF BANK HEALTH LEVELS TOWARDS THE PROFITABILITY OF SHARIA BANKS IN INDONESIA AND MALAYSIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Spelling mistakes:</b> Place and Duration of Study: The sampling technique used was purposive sampling. The study was conducted a <b>Manufacturieng</b> Companies listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange with a research period of 2018-2019. <b>2.3.1 Research Desaign</b> <b>Fig 1. Theoretical Framework</b></li> <li>Not in English: <b>2.2.2 The Effect of OER on Profitability</b></li> <li>There is a contradiction in the research period. In the abstract, sample has been taken from <b>2018-2019</b> and under the population and sample, it was mentioned as <b>2016-2019</b>.</li> <li>Sample: There is no clear details from which researcher has collected Indonesian banks' data and Malaysian banks' data (in Abstract and in research samples).</li> <li>Sample: In abstract, it said Indonesian manufacturing company but in the sample, it said commercial banks.?</li> <li>No proper references for 13,14,15,16,17</li> <li>Source or citation should be given for the conceptual framework.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vianny Jeniston Delima
Department, University & Country	Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka