

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_71930
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact Employee Happiness on Turnover Intention: A Case Study of ABC Apparel Manufacturers & Suppliers Company
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Title</li> <li>2. Abstract</li> <li>3. Introduction</li> <li>4. Literature Review</li> <li>5. Methods</li> <li>6. Result and Discussion</li> <li>7. Conclusion</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title is incomplete and need to be revised by the author</li> <li>2. The author failed to present the key information needed in the abstract, specifically, the main problem, methodology, key findings and their implications.</li> <li>3. The author should revise the information in such a way that it captures the background information, rationale and motivation for the study, statement of the main problem as well as the innovation points (research gap). The empirical and practical significance are all missing</li> <li>4. In the literature review section, the author did not review a significant number of researches to support the main idea of the research. Citation of reviewed studies used is unprofessional, author should refer to APA 6<sup>th</sup> or 7<sup>th</sup> edition for proper citation.</li> <li>5. The design, instrumentation used by the study are clearly explained and justified.</li> <li>6. Research findings are not communicated clearly explained</li> <li>7. The conclusion should capture the key implications of the findings in relation to the study area, and the policy suggestions based on the empirical findings.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p>The organization of the paper is not attractive Wrong citation</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Umar Muhammad Gummi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sokoto State University, Nigeria</b>