



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_58715
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Impact of Strategic Management Practices on the Performance of Commercial Banks in Makurdi – Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "The study utilized primary data obtained through structured questionnaire administered to 160 respondents sampled from seven commercial banks in the study area." - Specify the format of structured questionnaire. 2. 160 respondents- Two low in comparison to seven banks - Increase it 3. Hypothesis can not be proved and can not have accuracy. 4. In sub-section 2.2.2, 1 &3 are redundant since Bank does not produce any product or sale any product. 5. Figure 1 needs change considering question 4. 6. Section 2.3 is known and be deleted. 7. "The sample size consists of 175 respondents with 25 managers selected from each of the commercial banks."- Data size does not match with the statement specified in the Abstract. 8. Likert scale battery- specify. 9. validity of the instrument- what does it mean? At all any instrument is built. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Equation 3.1to 3.3 are copied from other text. It should be created. 2. "regression analysis were used in testing the hypotheses of the study."- Hypothesis can not be tested. Remember Avogadro Hypothesis. 3. Out of 175 only 160 filled questionnaire were received.- please write all are not responded. 4. PF=Organizational Performance (change the name) 5. "The results presented in Table 2 indicates that there is a strong positive and significant correlation between environmental scanning (SE) and performance of commercial banks (PF), (r= 0.961,p=0.002). – Explain it clearly. 6. Recommendation part shall be specific without any story. 	
Optional/General comments	Major corrections are required.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samir Kumar Bandyopadhyay
Department, University & Country	University of Calcutta, India