

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Dental Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_87719
Title of the Manuscript:	In vivo Comparison of Surface Changes between Two Nickel Titanium Rotary Single File Systems post instrumentation in moderately curved root canals
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Please add the producer and country for the product used in this study: FKG XP Endo Shaper and Micromega One Curve., GPower software v. 3.1.9.2</p> <p>40 individuals visiting the Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics – Maybe better use patients not individuals, the doctor should show a little and be willing to help his patients . Please add the City and Country of this department</p> <p>OPD, IOPA , when you use abbreviations for the first time it is good to explain what they mean for people who are unfamiliar with this subject.</p> <p>stereomicroscope at 40X, -2% LIGNOX- producer , country</p> <p>Rubber dam- producer country. Access opening was done using access opening burs- what kind of bur, producer country.</p> <p>DG 16 endodontic explorer. Canal orifices were enlarged using orifice opener from Neo Endo, Orikham , used according to the manufacturer instructions. Working length determination was done using Propex- please add producers and countries</p> <p>17% EDTA as lubricant and during instrumentation the canals were irrigated with 3% sodium hypochlorite- producer, country</p> <p>Results: <b>We give the results either in the form of a table or in the form of graphs, please use one method, but do not repeat the same method twice</b></p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b> This is in vivo study no consent from the university authorities to carry out this test, please add</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zbigniew Raszewski</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Czechia</b>