

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Dental Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_83466
Title of the Manuscript:	'Today's Vision Tomorrow's Reality : Nanorobotics in Dentistry'
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Very small Minor revision With regret, I must inform you that I have decided that this manuscript can be accepted for publication in Chemical Papers after a <u>minor revision</u>. It is acceptable for publication after <u>a minor revision</u>. In my opinion, the paper looks as quite complete. This work is excellent and qualified and the references are new and updated. Finally, from my referee point of view, the manuscript can be accepted after a little <u>minor revision</u> The list of references in the manuscript covers 30 references. Manuscript contains 30 reference at the list. The word count for the abstract is 200 words. Key words are three only. The manuscript has 13 pages. The word count for the abstract is 200 words. Please, abstract is long keywords, It should be written in italic type and not bold. Please, authors should write more than 3 keywords The reference in the text does not match the journal style guide. The headings and subtitles should be numbered by the authors. Headings and subtitles are not followed Journal Guide lines The underlines in headings should be removed by authors. The references in the list should be carefully reviewed by the authors. The list of references should be formatted in journal style.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>With regret, I must inform you that I have decided that this manuscript can be accepted for publication in Chemical Papers after a <u>minor revision</u>. It is acceptable for publication after <u>a minor revision</u>. In my opinion, the paper looks quite complete. This work is excellent and qualified and the references are new and updated. Finally, from my referee point of view, the manuscript can be accepted after a little <u>minor revision</u></p> <p>Dr/ E. M. Elsayed</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elsayed Mostafa Elsayed
Department, University & Country	Central Metallurgical Research and Development Institute (CMRDI) , Egypt