

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Dental Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_82221
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIFUNGAL EFFICACY OF XYLITOL AGAINST CANDIDA ALBICANS: AN IN VITRO STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Although this study is recent and more comprehensive, you must be careful when citing references. In your script, there are many sentences that exactly match the references. The exact same sentences can increase plagiarism. Better to rewrite it into your own sentences.</p> <p>In introduction and discussion section, you have explained a lot about candida albicans and xylitol but very little about fluconazole. Maybe you can add an explanation about fluconazole. Why did you choose fluconazole as a comparison instead of other antifungals such as amphotericin B, nystatin, or others? It can also be added what are the advantages of xylitol over fluconazole so that the information from this study can be proposed as a therapy or further research.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Some hyphenations were inconsistent, like "antifungal" and "anti-fungal". It is better to use the same term throughout the article.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>This study is very valuable. It examined xylitol in various concentrations compared with not only deionized water as a control but also compared to standard antifungal treatment - Fluconazole. So that new information on the dose of xylitol can be obtained.</p> <p>Material and method is well described. Data is well presented. Statistical analysis is used correctly, the software is mentioned. Conclusion is in accordance with the data. The references cited is relevant to the study.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dwi Murtiastutik</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Airlangga University, Indonesia</b>