

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_78312
Title of the Manuscript:	SELF RATING OF SATISFACTION WITH DENTAL APPEARANCE OF A GROUP OF NIGERIAN DOCTORS AND NURSES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Using report checklists improve the study's report quality. Consider the use of STROBE checklist for cross-sectional studies. Since different countries has different health professionals' characteristics, formation and training, please explore in the introduction these characteristics of doctors in Nigeria (are they also dental doctors/dentists or general health doctors/physicians?). It would be interesting to compare the results for nurses and doctors through inferential statistics. Please revise the first paragraph on page 5:. The sentence "Only 2(2.5%) female participants and 7(8.9%) felt "bad" about the appearance of their teeth (p=0.045)" seems incomplete. Please explore the sample choice in discussion. Why doctors and nurses? How do they compare and differ from general population? 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Cristine Miron Stefani</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University Of Brasilia, Bazil</i>