

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_77852
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Serum Protein levels in Oral Submucous Fibrosis Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This study compares serum levels of total protein, albumin, and uric acid in 75 subjects (25 tobacco users without oral submucous fibrosis -OSMF-, 25 tobacco users with OSMF, and 25 healthy controls). The manuscript contains five keywords, three tables, and thirty-six references. Overall, it is a correct manuscript, although some remarks are made.</p> <p>Specific comments on different sections of the manuscript are summarised.</p> <p>Abstract Please, use the same numerical format (Arabic or Roman) to describe the three groups.</p> <p>Keywords The manuscript presents five keywords. For keywords, where possible, please use Medical Subject Headings terms (MeSH Terms). "Serum" and "uric acid" are MeSH terms, not "albumin", "total protein", or "OSMF". According to the journal's guidelines, the use of abbreviations as keywords should be avoided. For example, "OSMF" could be replaced by the MeSH term "oral submucous fibrosis". An alternative MeSH term proposed is "albumins" better than "albumin".</p> <p>Introduction According to the journal's instructions, in the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in square brackets [1], not in superscript¹. Please, if the author's name appears in the text, place the reference number immediately after the name, not at the end of the sentence or paragraph. Pryor 1986 does not appear in the reference list, and either does not match with reference number 8 of the list.</p> <p>Methods & Material In the abstract section, two groups are composed of tobacco chewers. In the present section, these two groups are composed of smokers (cigarette). Are the participants smoked or chewed tobacco users? Please clarify this. Please, include more data about the statistical software (company, address ...).</p> <p>Results Tables 2 and 3 are not cited in the text, only Table 1. Please, include them in the text.</p> <p>Discussion Abbreviations and acronyms should be explained the first time they are used. For example "ADS", I suppose is "antioxidant defense system (ADS)", right? What is the meaning of (37) in the following sentence "... fatal lesions in squamous cell carcinoma(37),"? In the text, why do you put some words in bold?</p> <p>It seems that authors with reference numbers in the text do not match the reference numbers of the authors in the reference list. In the text, Nayyar A 2012 appears linked to two different reference numbers 8 and 25, when the number 12 is assigned in the reference list. In the text, Shrestha R 2012 appears as number 9, when in the reference list it is number 29. In the reference list, the number 8 corresponds to Nair and the number 9 to Sharan. Further down in the text, Nayar A 2012 appears linked to number 25 when number 25 is Richter in the list of references. Please review this carefully so that the reference numbers in the text match the reference numbers in the reference list.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	References Total number of manuscript references: 36. Reference format is according to the journal's guidelines. Tables Total number of manuscript tables: 3 As you stated in the materials and methods section, P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. P-value in table 1 is statistically significant. Please indicate it.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alberto Rodriguez-Archilla
Department, University & Country	University Of Granada, Spain