

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Dental Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_77278
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE CEPHALOMETRIC EVALUATION OF MAXILLARY CENTRAL INCISOR CROWN ROOT RELATIONSHIPS IN VARIOUS MALOCCLUSIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This is a research article so the abstract should be structured. That would contain introduction, material and methods, Results and Conclusion.</li> <li>2. Material and Methods needs Refinements. Inclusion and Exclusion criteria's, number of subjects included in study and number of subjects in per group should be clearing mentioned</li> <li>3. In Result, which test you used for stastical analysis such as ANOVA or t test or any other should be mentioned. Intraobserver error should be mentioned.</li> <li>4. You not put any table for comparisons between groups such as Group -1 with Group-2, Group -3 and Group-4</li> <li>5. In Discussion your focused should be more on your own results with valus</li> </ol>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	References of recent years can be added	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amit Kumar Khera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Swami Vivekanand Subharti University, India</b>