

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Dental Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_76614
Title of the Manuscript:	Stress distribution for all-on-four concept using 3D finite elements analysis
Type of the Article	Treatment of Mandibular

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	The paper deals with an interesting topic. The discussion is acceptable. The results are quite interesting and worthy. I recommend this article after changes (revision). The following suggestions should be taken into account. 1. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistake in this article. 2. Abstract and conclusion must be modified to show the paper novelty and its achievement clearer. 3. Please number lines for better follow up of your paper. 4. Add nomenclature part with units should added. 5. Introduction needs more new papers to improve the article level. 6. Conclusion section is missing some perspective related to the future research work.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	The queries regarding manuscript have prepared. My point wise queries are as under: In Abstract: The abstract format is not up to the mark. The abstract doesn't present the whole manuscript. In the introduction: The literature review is missing (from 2018-2021) in manuscript. Without literature how can someone define problem and conclusion.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Please check for typo mistakes. Please modify the title name. Result should be more physically interpreted for better understanding for readers.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Qusain Haider</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Of Gujrat, Pakistan</b>