

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Cardiology Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_89363
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of coronary calcium scoring in the prognosis of Cardiovascular disorders (Mortality, hospital admissions with ACS and need for revascularization): A retrospective observational study</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1-Authors must justify why female are lower than male 2-This study also revealed that males had higher calcium scores as compared to the female patients ? Justify ? 3-The author must give more detail about the method used to perform hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia measures. 4-In the table 1 author must give age group unit. 5-The title of the article need precision 6-Authors must justify why female are lower than male 7-Where is the figure 2 ? If not, author can change the table 2 in figure 2 to better homogeneized the manuscript 8-Some percent in the table 2 are lower or exceed 100% when we compare diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidemia with calcium score 9-The author must discuss other aspect of his results	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gbandjaba Nagba Yendoubé</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lomé, Togo</b>