

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_88136
Title of the Manuscript:	ROLE OF PHYSICAL ACTIVITY IN THE CONTROL OF HYPERTENSION
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I have no major inputs; However, I have some minor comment with respect to the apparent precision of data presentation. The author has presented most of his data to two decimal points. In most cases, when these figures are put together, they do not add up to 100% as expected. I have highlighted these data in the document! E.g:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> During this study, we observed a female predominance 182 women (80.17%), 45 men (19.82%) with a sex ratio of 4.04 in favor of women <p>I suggest the author reviews this situation and presents the data to one place of decimal, as indeed he presented quoted data to one place of decimal. E.g:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In our study we noted 11.89% of grade 3 hypertension, showing the severity of this condition in the Moroccan population. this result is similar to that of Ba in Mauritania [14], which reported a frequency of 20.8% 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I find the paper well written. Congratulations!	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bailah Leigh
Department, University & Country	University of Sierra Leone, Sierra Leone