

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_82228
Title of the Manuscript:	OPINE-IT: Oral AntiPlatelets Usage Pattern IN Acute Coronary Syndrome: Exploring The Indian Ticagrelor Experience
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A great real world experience data on a very clinically relevant area . A little background on the respondents (area of India , type of practice and average experience (yrs) will be helpful to understand their responses . Syntax and grammar has to be corrected , the tense keeps changing with every paragraph .</p> <p>The majority of the participants (36.4%) responded that <10% of the STEMI patients treated with fibrinolysis receive ticagrelor. 26% and 20.3% responded that 10-20% and <20-40% of the STEMI patients treated with fibrinolysis receive ticagrelor. (not sure what you meanneeds to be more clear)</p> <p>mild bleeding, ventricular pauses (needs to be specific ...VPCs.... TIMI grading of Bleeding etc)</p> <p>It was revealed that Indian ACS patients usually presented to the ER of a hospital after the onset of ACS symptoms between 2-4 hours (more than half of the ACS patients). In contrast, more than a quarter of the patients presented between 1-2 hours of the event, as revealed in the cardiologist's responses. (needs to be written better)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Grouping of ideas needs to be more cohesive and a standard pattern of answering all the 16 questions has to be maintained in the results .</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall a great piece of real world data which is useful for the consumption of physicians and health administrators .</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Johann Christopher
Department, University & Country	Care Hospitals, India